CF 7001

(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition No. 157 of 2004.

PETITIONERS : 1. Gayatri Kishor Sahu, S/o Govind Ram Sahu, aged 32 years, Chowkidar, I.T.I.,Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

2. Shyamlal Yadav S/o Tiharu Ram Yadav aged 35 years, Chowkidar, I.T.I., Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

3. Dilip Kumar Yadav S/o Kartik Ram Yadav, aged 34 years, Jalwahak, I.T.I.,Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.)

4. Sukal Ram Sidar S/o Jagbandh Ram Yadav, aged 36 years, Maali, I.T.I. Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

5. Nanki Ram Sahu S/o Heera Ram Sahu, aged 34 years, Chowkidar, I.T.I. Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

6. Bharatlal Yadav S/o Birbal Prasad Yadav, aged 31 years, Cook(Rasoea), I.T.I.,Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

7. Sant Ram Yadav S/o Fadal Ram Yadav, aged 35 years, Cook, I.T.I. Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

P.R. No. 162/04
Presented by Shri Sanjay Patel
dated 15/11/04

RECEIVED COPY
15/11/04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

Versus

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary, Aadim Jati, Anusuchit Janjati, Pachhada Warg Eavam Alp Sankhyak Kalyan Department D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

.....contd....

शपथ आयुक्त
258/04
एवं अपर कलेक्टर
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
15/11/04

2. Assistant Commissioner,
   Aadivasi Vikas, Korba, Distt.Korba.

3. Principal,
   Industrial Training Institution
   (I.T.I.), Korba, Distt. Korba (C.G.).

4. Collector,
   Aadivasi Vikas, Korba,
   Distt. Korba (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/DIRECTIONS/ ORDER :

21

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 157 of 2004

Petitioners Gayatri Kishor Sahu & others

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

None for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER

(24th April, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both the occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioners.
2. In view of the above, the petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge